UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,699	09/14/2005	Thomas N. Horsky	211843-00032	6928
	7590 09/08/200 CHIN ROSENMAN LI	EXAMINER		
(C/O PATENT ADMINISTRATOR) 2900 K STREET NW, SUITE 200			SMITH, JOHNNIE L	
	N, DC 20007-5118		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2881	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/519,699	HORSKY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JOHNNIE L. SMITH II	2881			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-39 is/are withdraw  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accertance and not request that any objection to the original states.	r election requirement. r. epted or b)  objected to by the E				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0408.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/519,699 Page 2

Art Unit: 2881

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 05/19/2008 is acknowledged.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6, 10, and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6013332 (Goto et al).
- 4. In reference to claims 1 and 10, Goto teach a method of implanting ions (claim 1) having steps of: producing a volume of gas phase molecules of a boron hydride (column 2 lines 28-29); ionizing the boron hydride molecules defining ionized boron hydride molecules (column 2 lines 29-30); and accelerating the ionized boron hydride molecules by an electric field into a target (column 2 lines 31-32).
- 5. In reference to claims 2-4 and 13-14, Goto teach a method for producing a volume or gas phase molecules of octadecaborane, accelerating molecules of

Application/Control Number: 10/519,699 Page 3

Art Unit: 2881

 $B_{18}H_{x+}$ , and  $B_{18}H_{x-}$  (column 2 lines 39-49 and column 2 lines 52-58, teaches increasing B atoms for the purpose of implantation efficiency).

6. In reference to claims 6 and 16, Goto teach a method having a step of accelerating boron hydride ions into a silicon target (column 2 lines 20-22).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 5, 7-9, 15, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6013332 (Goto et al) in view of 2005/0006799 (Gregg et al). In reference to claims 5 and 15, Goto fails to teach applicant disclosure of

Art Unit: 2881

producing a volume of gas by sublimation of a solid by heating above 20°C. Gregg teach a vaporizer (110) used to vaporize any suitable material in a solid state characterized by a sublimation temperature in the range of, for example, approximately 20 degrees Celsius to approximately 300 degrees Celsius (paragraph 0029). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Gregg into the disclosure of Goto since it is well known in the art to have a vaporizer to vaporize any suitable material in any suitable one or more states and/or in any suitable one or more forms as taught in the disclosure of Gregg (paragraph 0028). One would be compelled to do so for the purpose of delivering a desired gas to process equipment.

- 10. In reference to claim, 7-9 and 17-19, Goto teach accelerating boron hydride into a silicon semiconductor substrate (column 2 lines 20-22). But failed to disclose applicant's disclosure of having silicon-on-insulator substrate target, and strained superlattice substrate target. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have such an element as a matter of design choice since Goto teach the use of silicon semiconductor substrates.
- 11. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6013332 (Goto et al) in view of US 2004/002202 (Horsky et al). In reference to claims 10 and 11, Goto teach the use of an electric field (14) but failed

to teach wherein the said electric field is a time-varying or pulsed electric field. Goto is also silent on if the said field is a constant or DC electric field. Such limitations can be found in the teachings of Horsky (paragraph 0060 line 34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Horsky into the disclosure of Goto since the use of an electric field is taught and discussed above, the limitations being claimed are merely variations of such teachings and would not have involved an inventive step.

### Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. All of the references cited on attached PTO 892 contain art similar to that being claimed by applicant.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHNNIE L. SMITH II whose telephone number is (571)272-2481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6-4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on 571.272.2293. The fax

Application/Control Number: 10/519,699

Art Unit: 2881

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to

the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or

571-272-1000.

JOHNNIE L SMITH II

Page 6

Examiner

Art Unit 2881

/J. L. S./

Examiner, Art Unit 2881

/ROBERT KIM/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2881